

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/634,054	08/08/2000	David A. Newsome, M.D.	P00005US (53783.1P)	4792	
22920	7590 03/12/2002				
	GARVEY SMITH NEHRBASS & DOODY, LLC			EXAMINER	
THREE LAKEWAY CENTER 3838 NORTH CAUSEWAY BLVD., SUITE 3290			HAYES, MICHAEL J		
METAIRIE,	LA 70002		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3763		
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Api	plicant(s)			
			WSOME, M.D., DAVID A.			
Office Action Summary	09/634,054 Examiner		Unit			
ome motion cummary		376				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Michael J Hayes pears on the cover					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, howe ly within the statutory min will apply and will expire : a, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely file mum of thirty (30) days will b SIX (6) MONTHS from the m become ABANDONED (35	ed be considered timely. ailing date of this communication. U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08.	August 2000 .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The	nis action is non-fi	nal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 453 C	J.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		ed to by the Evamine	ır			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	Interview Summary (PTO Notice of Informal Paten Other:	O-413) Paper No(s) t Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/634,054

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-7, 11-15, 18-25, drawn to an apparatus having a conductive outer shell, classified in class 604, subclass 20.
 - II. Claims 8 and 16, drawn to a method of applying dilation drops to the eye, classified in class 604, subclass 501.
 - III. Claims 9 and 17, drawn to a method of applying constriction drops to the eye, classified in class 604, subclass 501.
 - IV. Claims 26 and 32, drawn to a pre-medicated contact lens, classified in class 604, subclass 294.
 - V. Claims 27-31, drawn to an apparatus for performing electrophoresis on an eye including a light-activated power source, classified in class 604, subclass 20.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and IV, I and V, and IV and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, the inventions have separate utility such as an invention not requiring the particulars of the other inventions as described above. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- Inventions I, IV, and V are related as process and apparatus for its practice to inventions II and III. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as

Application/Control Number: 09/634,054

Art Unit: 3763

claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice a different process not requiring applying an electric current of not more than 1.5 mA for not more than 120 seconds.

- 4. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as not requiring constriction drops. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper or because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each group is not required for the other groups restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1 drawn to Figs. 3

Species 2 drawn to Fig. 4

Species 3 drawn to Figs. 5 and 6.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Application/Control Number: 09/634,054

Art Unit: 3763

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Hayes at (703) 305-5873. The examiner can usually be reached Monday -Thursday, 7:00-4:30, and on alternate Fridays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler, can be contacted at (703) 308-3552. The fax number for submitting official papers is (703) 872-9302. The fax number for submitting after final papers is (703) 872-9303.

Michael J. Hayes

Michael / Hager

8 March 2002

Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or carlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention inventor's name, and application number, or docker number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Dransperson, MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication See 37 CFR 1.85(a)

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application